arXiv:1605.00485v2 [physics.chem-ph] 22 Jun 2016

From Reaction-Diffusion Systems to Confined Brownian Motion

S. Martens[1, a)]
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Berlin, Hardenbergstraße 36, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Propagation of traveling wave (TW) patterns, including traveling fronts, solitary excitation pulses, and scroll waves, plays an important role in various technological and biophysical processes such as catalysis[1], chemical computing[2], neural information processing[3], atrial arrhythmia[4], pattern formation in the cell cortex[5]. Often, the excitable medium supporting wave propagation exhibits an irregular shape and/or is limited in size, leading to complex wave phenomena[6]. In particular, the analytic treatment of these wave phenomena is notoriously difficult due to the spatial modulation of the domain's boundary leading to space-dependent no-flux boundary conditions (NFBCs) on the surface.

Recently[7,8], we have provided a first systematic treatment by applying asymptotic perturbation analysis in a geometric parameter[9], determining the domain's cross section changing rate. This led to an approximate description that involves a reduction of dimensionality; the 3D reaction-diffusion equation (RDE) with spatially dependent NFBCs on the reactants reduces to a 1D reaction-diffusion-advection equation (RDAE) for the leading order

$$\partial_t u(\mathbf{r},t) = D_u \partial_x^2 u + D_u\, Q'(x)/Q(x)\, \partial_x u + R(u). \quad (1)$$

For simplicity, here, we consider the single component case in which $u(\mathbf{r},t)$ corresponds to the concentration of species u at position $\mathbf{r} = (x,y,z)^T$ and at time t, D_u denotes the molecular diffusion constant of u, and $R(u)$ represents the local, nonlinear reaction kinetics. Further, we presume that the domain's cross section $Q(x)$ is L-periodic in x-direction. In contrast to the conventional approach used for diffusion problems in confined domains[10], Eq. (1) is consistent with the eikonal equation[11]. Considering exemplarily a chemical front propagating from left to right, $\partial_x u < 0$, the boundary-induced advection field $\mathbf{v} = -D_u\, Q'/Q\, \mathbf{e}_x$ guaranties that fronts become decelerated where the channel expands, $Q' > 0$, and accelerated if the channel contracts, $Q' < 0$, respectively.

Interestingly, in the high-diffusive limit we found that the propagation velocities of traveling fronts[7] and solitary excitation pulses[8] in spatially modulated domains saturate at a value depending solely on the geometry of the domain. In the latter, the intrinsic width of the TW, $l \propto \sqrt{D_u}$, is much larger than the period of the modulation L. We argued heuristically that diffusion of reactants in propagation direction under spatially confined conditions is the predominant process for wave propagation and boundary interactions play a subordinate role in this limit. Consequently, the problem of wave propagation might be approximated well by a quasi 1D description introducing an effective diffusion constant D_{eff} to the RDE; yielding

$$\partial_t u(\mathbf{r},t) = D_{\text{eff}} \partial_x^2 u + R(u). \quad (2)$$

Experimental[12] and theoretical studies[13] on particle transport in micro-domains with obstacles[14] and/or small openings revealed non-intuitive features like a significant suppression of particle diffusivity – also called confined Brownian motion. Numerous research activities led to the development of an approximate description of the transport problem – the *Fick-Jacobs approach*[15]. The latter provides a powerful tool to capture many properties of particle transport and predicts that the effective diffusion coefficient in channel direction D_{eff} is solely determined by the cross section $Q(x)$. Thereby, D_{eff} can be calculated according to the Lifson-Jackson formula[16]

$$D_{\text{eff}}/D_u = \left[\langle Q(x)\rangle_L \langle Q^{-1}(x)\rangle_L\right]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \bullet \rangle_L = L^{-1}\int_0^L \bullet\, \mathrm{d}x$ denotes the average mean over one period of the modulation. We emphasize that both the Fick-Jacobs equation and the Lifson-Jackson formula represent the leading order solution in an asymptotic perturbation analysis of the associated stationary Smoluchowski equation and B-field[17], respectively. Importantly, our heuristic argumentation resulting in Eq. (2) has been already confirmed numerically[7,8].

In this note, we demonstrate how one derives the quasi 1D RDE with an effective diffusion coefficient D_{eff} given by Eq. (3) from the RDAE, Eq. (1). Rescaling space by the diffusion coefficient, $x \to \sqrt{D_u}x$, yields

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \partial_x^2 u + Q'(x/\alpha)/Q(x/\alpha)\, \partial_x u + R(u), \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = L/\sqrt{D_u} \propto L/l$ is proportional to the ratio of modulation period L to intrinsic width l. We focus on the limit $\alpha \to 0$ in which the advective velocity field $\mathbf{v} = -Q'(x/\alpha)/Q(x/\alpha)\mathbf{e}_x$ changes rapidly, periodically in space and apply homogenization theory[18] to Eq. (4). Importantly, we suppose that the variations on the microscopic (α-) and macroscopic (x-) scales can be described by two variables, $\chi = x$ and $\sigma = x/\alpha$, both being treated as independent quantities. By introducing these two independent scales and transforming the spatial derivative accordingly, $\partial_x = \partial_\chi + 1/\alpha\partial_\sigma$, Eq. (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2\, \partial_t u(\chi,\sigma,t) = &\left(\alpha^2\partial_\chi^2 + 2\alpha\partial_\chi\partial_\sigma + \partial_\sigma^2\right) u + \\ &\partial_\sigma Q(\sigma)/Q(\sigma)\left(\alpha\partial_\chi + \partial_\sigma\right) u + \alpha^2\, R(u).\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

a) Electronic mail: steffen.martens@tu-berlin.de

Next, we presume that any solution to Eq. (5) can be expanded in a series in α

$$u(\chi,\sigma,t)=u_0(\chi,t)+\alpha\, u_1(\chi,\sigma,t)+\alpha^2 u_2(\chi,\sigma,t)+\dots \quad (6)$$

In such a representation for u, the leading order term $u_0(\chi,t)$ represents the average, or mean field, behavior of u on the macroscopic scale χ. Hence, all higher terms have zero mean in σ, $\langle u_i\rangle = 0, \forall\, i \geq 1$, with $\langle\bullet\rangle = \int_0^1 \bullet\, \mathrm{d}\sigma$, and u_0 can be assumed to be independent of σ. Moreover, we claim that all u_i are 1-periodic, $u_i(\chi,1,t) = u_i(\chi,0,t), \forall\, i \geq 1$, because the advection term $\propto Q'(\sigma)/Q(\sigma)$ changes with period 1. Inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) leads to a hierarchic set of coupled partial differential equations

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^0): \quad 0 = \partial_\sigma\left(Q(\sigma)\partial_\sigma u_0\right) \quad (7a)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^1): \quad 0 = \partial_\sigma\left(Q(\sigma)\left\{\partial_\chi u_0 + \partial_\sigma u_1\right\}\right) \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2): \partial_t u_0 = \partial_\sigma\left(Q(\sigma)\partial_\sigma u_2\right)/Q(\sigma) + 2\partial_\chi\partial_\sigma u_1 + \partial_\chi^2 u_0 + Q'(\sigma)/Q(\sigma)\partial_\chi u_1 + R(u_0). \quad (7c)$$

Obviously, the zeroth order equation Eq. (7a) is always fulfilled for any function u_0 being independent of σ. From Eq. (7b), one gets $\partial_\chi u_0 + \partial_\sigma u_1 = K(\chi,t)/Q(\sigma)$ where the unknown function $K(\chi,t)$ is determined by the periodicity requirement in σ for $u_1(\chi,\sigma,t)$. Integrating the equation for $K(\chi,t)$ over σ, yields $\partial_\sigma u_1 = \partial_\chi u_0\left[1/(Q(\sigma)\left\langle Q^{-1}\right\rangle) - 1\right]$. Plugging the result for $\partial_\sigma u_1$ into Eq. (7c) and multiplying the latter by $Q(\sigma)$ results in

$$Q(\sigma)\partial_t u_0 = \partial_\sigma\left(Q(\sigma)\partial_\sigma u_2\right) + \partial_\chi^2 u_0\left[2\left\langle Q^{-1}\right\rangle^{-1} - Q(\sigma)\right] + Q'(\sigma)\partial_\chi u_1 + Q(\sigma)\,R(u_0).$$

By integrating the last equation over σ and taking the periodicity of u_1 and u_2 into account, one obtains the homogenized RDE for the leading order u_0

$$\partial_t u_0(\chi,t) = \left[\langle Q\rangle\left\langle Q^{-1}\right\rangle\right]^{-1}\partial_\chi^2 u_0(\chi,t) + R(u_0).$$

We get our final result

$$\partial_t u_0(x,t) = D_u\left[\langle Q\rangle_L\left\langle Q^{-1}\right\rangle_L\right]^{-1}\partial_x^2 u_0 + R(u_0), \quad (8)$$

by scaling the macroscopic length scale χ back to the real domain, $\sigma \to x\sqrt{D_u}/L$. Comparing Eq. (2) with Eq. (8), one identifies the effective diffusion coefficient by $D_{\text{eff}} = D_u/\left(\langle Q\rangle_L\left\langle Q^{-1}\right\rangle_L\right)$ which is the main result of this note.

We demonstrated for the first time that one can derive an expression for the effective diffusion coefficient, equal to the Lifson-Jackson formula, using a subsequent homogenization of the 1D reaction-diffusion-advection equation. The latter has been derived by applying asymptotic perturbation analysis to the underlying 3D reaction-diffusion equation with spatially dependent no-flux boundary conditions and incorporates the effects of boundary interactions on the reactants via a boundary-induced advection term. We stress that both quasi one-dimensional descriptions, the reaction-diffusion-advection equation and the Fick-Jacobs equation, are solely accurate for weakly modulated confinements.

I thank Alexander Ziepke for fruitful discussions and acknowledge financial support from the German Science Foundation DFG through SFB 910.

[1] M. Bär, M. Eiswirth, H. H. Rotermund, and G. Ertl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 945 (1992); A. Corma, Chem. Rev. **97**, 2373 (1997); A. Ledesma-Durán, S. I. Hernández-Hernández, and I. Santamaría-Holek, J. Phys. Chem. C **120**, 7810 (2016).

[2] O. Steinbock, P. Kettunen, and K. Showalter, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 18970 (1996); J. F. Totz, R. Snari, D. Yengi, M. R. Tinsley, et al., Phys. Rev. E **92**, 022819 (2015).

[3] C. Koch and I. Segev, Nat. Neurosci. **3**, 1171 (2000).

[4] E. Cherry and F. Fenton, J. Theo. Biol. **285**, 164 (2011).

[5] J. S. Bois, F. Jülicher, and S. W. Grill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 028103 (2011); W. M. Bement, M. Leda, A. Moe, A. Kita, et al., Nat. Cell Biol. **17**, 1471 (2015).

[6] A. Toth, V. Gaspar, and K. Showalter, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 522 (1994); V. K. Vanag and I. R. Epstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 228301 (2001); J. F. Totz, H. Engel, and O. Steinbock, New J. Phys. **17**, 093043 (2015); I. V. Biktasheva, H. Dierckx, and V. N. Biktashev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 068302 (2015).

[7] S. Martens, J. Löber, and H. Engel, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 022902 (2015).

[8] A. Ziepke, S. Martens, and H. Engel, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1606.00262.

[9] In detail, we introduce the expansion parameter $\epsilon = (\max(Q) - \min(Q))/L \ll 1$ and re-scale all quantities being orthogonal to the channel direction with ϵ (in 2D: $y \to \epsilon\, y$, for 3D tubes: $r \to \epsilon\, r$); yielding a RDE and NFBCs both containing terms of ϵ^2. Expanding u in a series of ϵ^2, one obtains a hierarchic set of coupled partial differential equations. From the zeroth and first order equations, we subsequently derive the RDAE, Eq. (1).

[10] Concentration u is proportional to the probability P for finding a u-molecule at $\mathbf{r}$ at t, whereby P equals the conditional probability $p(y,z|x,t)$ times the marginal one $p(x,t)$. Ignoring the chemistry and considering solely diffusion in a system with slowly varying periodic geometry, i.e., $(Q'(x)/Q(x))^n \to 0, \forall\, n \geq 2$, the molecules are equally distributed in y- and z; leading to $p(y,z|x,t) = 1/Q(x)$. Plugging this ansatz into the 3D RDE yields $\partial_t p(x,t) \simeq D_u\partial_x\left[Q(x)\partial_x\left(p/Q(x)\right)\right] - D_u\, Q'(x)/Q(x)\,\partial_x p$. Within this conventional approach, the 2nd term on the right hand side contradicts the eikonal equation.

[11] J. P. Keener, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **46**, 1039 (1986); H. Dierckx, O. Bernus and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 108101 (2011).

[12] A. S. Verkman, Trends Biochem. **27**, 27 (2002); A. E. Cohen and W. E. Moerner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **103**, 4362 (2006).

[13] L. Dagdug, A. M. Berezhkovskii, Y. A. Makhnovskii, V. Y. Zitserman, and S. M. Bezrukov, J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 101102 (2011); S. Martens, A. V. Straube, G. Schmid, L. Schimansky-Geier, and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 010601 (2013); P. Kalinay, J. Chem. Phys **141**, 144101 (2014).

[14] L. Dagdug, M.-V. Vazquez, A. M. Berezhkovskii, V. Y. Zitserman, and S. M. Bezrukov, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 204106 (2012); P. K. Ghosh, P. Hänggi, F. Marchesoni, S. Martens, et al., Phys. Rev. E **85**, 011101 (2012).

[15] P. S. Burada, G. Schmid, P. Talkner, P. Hänggi, et al., BioSystems **93**, 16 (2008); P. Burada, P. Hänggi, F. Marchesoni, G. Schmid, and P. Talkner, ChemPhysChem **10**, 45 (2009).

[16] S. Lifson and J. L. Jackson, J. Chem. Phys. **36**, 2410 (1962).

[17] K. D. Dorfman and E. Yariv, J. Chem. Phys. **141**, 044118 (2014).

[18] J. P. Keener, Physica D **136**, 1 (2000); J. Xin, SIAM Review **42**, 161 (2000).